

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/564,788
Applicant : HUMMEL et al
Filed : January 17, 2006
TC/A.U. : 1654
Examiner : Ronald T. Niebauer

Docket No. : 2918-111
Customer No. : 6449
Confirmation No. : 3658

SUMMARY OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is a summary of the Examiner interviews of April 9, 2009 and April 20, 2009, between Examiner Ronald T. Niebauer and Applicant's representatives. This summary is being filed within one month of May 12, 2009, the mailing date of the Interview Summary Form, and thus is timely filed.

Applicants' representatives and the Examiner discussed the claim rejections in the outstanding Office Action. The Examiner noted that claims 125 and 126, directed to a compound, would likely be found allowable, while claims 127-140, would likely not be found allowable at that time, as a new search would be required. Applicants' representatives requested that the Examiner issue a formal Restriction Requirement for these two groups of claims, and informed the Examiner that Applicants would likely elect the compound claims in response.

Serial No. 10/564,788

Summary of Substance of Interview

Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

/Carolyn L. Greene/
Carolyn L. Greene
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 57,784
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK
1425 K. Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 783-6040